International Trade and Economic Policy of Northeast Asia:

The Role and Interests of Russia and Its Far Eastern Territories

Sergey Sevastiyanov

Vladivostok State University

The goal of my presentation is twofold:

- to elaborate in what way Russia could constructively contribute to the ongoing regional integration processes in East Asia and Northeast Asia (NEA);
- to find out what models of regional integration would better suit Russian critical interest to sustain underdeveloped and under populated territories of its Russian Far East (RFE).

During a long historic period (from 1930s till 1990s) great economic and financial resources and subsidies had been pouring by the Russian Government into the Russian Far East that had been considered as a bastion a military power in the Pacific. However, at the beginning of the 90-s in last century most of the state orders for the local military industry had been cancelled, and all subsidies for the region in such spheres as transport, energy, wages, etc. had been stopped, and the socioeconomic situation in the RFE has changed radically to the negative.

In 1996, a new federal program was proposed for the economic and social development of the RFE and Zabaykalye for the years 1996-2005. The strategic goal of the program was to integrate the RFE with the Northeast Asian market, and, at the same time, to place the RFE within a framework of the Russian Federation's domestic division of labor. However, due to lack of adequate finance very few practical steps were being taken to help the region. As a result the Russian Far East has been transformed into a depressed territory, and the region lost more than one million in population (now it is about 6,5 mln people).

In 2000 newly elected President Vladimir Putin clearly expressed strong dissatisfaction with the present socioeconomic situation at the RFE, and demonstrated his willingness to improve the situation. While addressing the Asia-Pacific and Russian Far East (REF)'s audiences he always said that multilateral cooperation programs in energy, and infrastructure development projects in Northeast Asia (NEA) would have been most profitable for the countries of this region, and the best option for the RFE population to improve their standards of living.

Most part of those proposals looked like a rhetoric for a while, but gradually some changes became to happen that reflected a new situation in the Russian economics operating in a new environment of the Russian state budget's huge surplus beefed up by oil dollars¹.

In 2005 then Minister of Economic Development and Trade Germen Gref that the Russian Government **must and would** take part in construction of roads, airports, pipelines, energy power grids, and reminded about President Putin's directives to develop the RFE as a priority area, and that was why the Russian Government finally decided to increase the amount of money allocated to the RFE development programs: from 2,9 bln rubles² in 2004, to a sizable amount of 17,5 bln rubles in 2007.

The Asia Pacific region is a critical component of the Russian foreign policy, because Moscow is very interested to use its increasing economic potential to contribute to the economic development and comprehensive security of the Russian Far East and Eastern Siberia. There are several areas of Russia's regional involvement that could become substantial components of the EA and NEA multilateral cooperation: energy and natural resources supplies; transportation services, nature and ecology preservation, arms trade; and constructive participation in regional and subregional International Governmental Organizations (IGO).

Bilateral economic and trade ties in the Asia-Pacific and NEA

(For details see – 32 power point slides)

China

For a number of security and economic reasons, Russia's policy in Asia gives priority to its partnership with China. Russian–Chinese trade was rapidly increasing: in 2006 it has reached a record \$29 billion. One of the main trade boosters is an expansion of its border trade component.

As far as the Chinese illegal migration threat is concerned, Moscow and the regional political elite are sure that Russia needs a foreign labor force to develop vast territorial spaces in the RFE and East Siberia. The issue is how to construct adequate laws and then implement an effective immigration policy. In the RFE, more than 70% of legal working migrants are from China. Other sizable migrants groups are from North Korea and Vietnam.

¹ Sevastyanov S., Current State and Prospects for Russian – North – South Korean Triangular Economic Cooperation, proceedings of the 15th IFANS – IMEMO Conference, IFANS, Seoul, 2005 pp. 124-125

² One US dollar is equivalent to 25,0 Russian rubles

Japan

Recent improvement of economic ties and the numerous bilateral negotiations did not bring progress in resolving their so called Northern islands territorial dispute. At the moment both sides are not ready to soften their position on the issue.

Mostly due to high international price for oil Russian state budget is very healthy, Russian foreign exchange reserves and stabilization fund reached record levels of \$425 bln and \$130 bln respectively, and both (reserves and fund) are quickly growing. As a result of that financial dynamics Russia is not so much interested in Japanese FDI to the RFE natural resources development. But what Russia is really interested in is to attract Japanese investments into other (not natural resources development) industry sectors, and that was why Moscow was very encouraged by Nissan and Toyota decisions to build automobile producing plants in Russia.³

The Korean Peninsula

Russia is trying to maintain balanced political ties with ROK and DPRK, and to fully participate in dealing with economic and security problems on the peninsula. In the economic area South Korea overwhelmingly dominates: in 2006 Russian trade with RK reached a record level \$10 bln, while Russia – North Korea annual trade in 2004 was only \$160 million. All three countries (RF, ROK, DPRK) consider implementation of joint projects in energy and transportation as one of the major factors in securing unification of Korean states, and in decreasing tension on the Peninsula.

Trans-Siberian Railroad (TSR) extension to the Korean Peninsula and its connection to the Trans-Korean Railroads (TKR) is considered in Russia as most perspective and profitable international transportation project. Joint use of TSR and TKR would help ROK and Japanese business to decrease cargo transportation period to European countries three times (from 30-35 days by sea to 10-12 days by land), while Russia and DPRK would get much more profit for the transit of increased amount of containers.

Characterizing Russian energy export to NEA countries, one regional security problem should be taken into account. Most of Russian proposals to sell oil, gas and electricity to its neighbors could not fully materialize until Korean Peninsula security issue is solved. To make this happen

³ RIA Novosti, September, 2006

Russia is acively participating in 6-Party Talks. Moscow believes that a solution to this regional problem would be greatly facilitated by providing DPRK with adequate guarantees of security and by creating conditions for its sound economic and social development in exchange for renunciation of its military nuclear program⁴.

The United States

Though Moscow and Washington successfully cooperate in 6-Party Talks, the potential for closer ties between the RFE and USA is underexploited especially in economics sphere: bilateral trade and investments substantially declined in this century, and the only positive example in economics sphere is Sakhalin-1 project with an active Exxon participation.

Russian Approach to Multilateralism in the Asia-Pacific region

At the Asia Pacific level Moscow is using APEC as a key international organization to promote its economic interests in the region. During last several years Moscow managed to make more visible input to the implementation of the APEC annual agenda selecting Vladivostok as a venue of such events as: 7th Investments Symposium in 2003; Meeting of the APEC Transportation Working Group 2005; Meeting of the APEC Industrial Science and Technology Working Group 2007. As a next critical step in this direction Moscow has chosen Vladivostok as a venue of the APEC Summit in 2012, and allocated about \$6 bln to prepare a city to represent Russia in this event. This APEC Summit should be of help for the RFE, Primorsky krai and Vladivostok to attract attention of foreign investors.

Northeast Asia

For the last 10 years the RFE was actively participating in a number of multilateral economic cooperation projects in NEA, including TRADP. However, Russian approach to the Tumen Program has been based in the broader context of developing Primorsky region, and not just in a geographically small TRADP area. As a result of the controversy in vision, Russian authorities blocked most of the TRADP plans being concerned about potential loss of control over and

⁴ Ignatov A. Russia in the Asia-Pacific in ed. by Azizian R. Russia, America, and Security in the Asia-Pacific, Honolulu, APSCC, 2006, p. 10.

ecological threats to strategically important part of the Primorsky territory, and about producing critical competition to local Russian ports.

The Russian Far East's New Economic Development Plans and Initiatives

In the context of Minister Gref's statements, it makes sense to analyze several NEA energy and transportation infrastructure development projects that have been either actively discussed or in a realization phase during last several years. Most important have been an oil pipeline starting from Eastern Siberia, and a gas pipeline starting from Sakhalin – both should go all the way to the Pacific Ocean coastline in southern part of the Primorsky region.

When the above mentioned infrastructure development multibillion projects had been discussed for many years, the critical issue had always been unsolved: who would pay to start and to implement them?

An idea to form multilateral framework for cooperation in the energy sector in NEA had been gaining momentum in the region for more than 15 years. However in reality in December 2004 the Russian Prime Minister Mikhail Fradkov ordered to start construction of the Eastern Siberia - Pacific Ocean (ESPO) oil pipeline *using state budget money and not seeking any foreign investments to make this happen*.

The ESPO first section from Taishet, Irkutskaya oblast to Skovorodino, Amurskaya oblast should be able to support a total delivery capacity of 80 mln tons of oil per year. Two other proposed pipeline portions starting in Skovorodino (the main one - going to Primorsky region, and an off-shoot - to Datcin, Northern China) should have an annual capacity of 50 mln tons, and 30 mln tons respectively.

In 2008, the ESPO pipeline will reach a key point of Scovorodino. At this time a decision should be made on pipeline construction priority: a main route to Primorsky region or an offshoot to Datcin in Northern China. Moscow has absolutely no intention, as some foreign scholars speculate, to use this situation as a tool to play China and Japan off against one another. Russian decision will be defined by economic considerations, and by probable lack of proved oil resources at this time to fill ESPO pipeline to its maximum capacity (80 mln tons of oil per year).

Another attractive option for energy cooperation in NEA is hydropower. In 2006 inexpensive energy produced at the Bureya hydropower station, Amurskaya oblast, has been brought via high

voltage lines to Primorsky region that is bordering North Korean territory, thus offering Pyongyang a practical source of energy. By the year 2008 that hydropower station would increase its output to two million kilowatts. Such a huge capacity secures cheap energy to the RFE economy. It will also become a reliable source of affordable energy for China, and possibly for DPRK and ROK.

So latest events demonstrated that Moscow was changing its attitude to the RFE for a more positive, and the Russian Government's obligation to support a sizable part of the RFE multibillion infrastructure development projects with its own budget money were becoming a reality. However starting from 2006, Moscow began more actively implement its **New Energy Policy (NEP)**, and it has changed the situation in the Russian energy sector.

Implementing NEP Moscow is trying to persuade foreign consumers to sign long term contracts for delivering Russian oil and gas. At the same time Kremlin is regulating foreign investors' access to a lucrative Russian natural resources sector, while trying to redirect foreign investments flows to other sectors of the Russian economy.

Two public companies (Gazprom and Rosneft) are clear Kremlin favorites now. In January 2007 Putin signed a decree allowing Gazprom and Rosneft to get equal share of all Russian continental shelf to extract oil and gas. That decision blocks foreign and other Russian companies from getting major share in these projects, and leaving them with a role of not owners but contractors/subcontractors.

Both above mentioned companies have chosen Northeast Asia and the Russian Far East as areas of their expanded investments' activities.

Gazprom priority in the region is to sell Sakhalin area extracted gas to local consumers in the RFE and later to foreign partners. Gazprom recently bought a control share of the Sakhalin-2 project, and is conducting negotiations with Russian companies to buy two components of the Sakhalin – Komsomolsk-at-Amur and Komsomolsk-at-Amur – Khabarovsk gas pipeline owned by Rosneft and Daltransgas respectively. In future Gazprom plans to prolong this pipeline from Khabarovsk to Vladivostok bringing it near the border with China and DPRK thus making plans to sell NEA countries gas transported by Sakhalin pipeline not a distant perspective.

Sakhalin-3 project has been chosen by Gazprom as a main resource base to support its plans to deliver gas by a pipeline to internal and foreign consumers in Northeast Asia. Sakhalin-3 project is composed of four gas and oil fields with total resource base of more than 700 mln tons of oil

and 1,3 bln cubic meters of gas (just for comparison, joint total resource base of Sakhalin-1 and Sakhalin-2 is about 450 mln tons of oil and 1,0 bln cubic meters of gas). Such a choice means that at this point in time Gazprom decided to concentrate its financial and other recourses on Sakhalin area projects, while Kovikta field extracted gas will become a more distant priority.⁵

Gazprom and Korean Gas Company Kogas are considering a feasibility of constructing a gas pipeline from Kovikta to South Korea going through the Chinese territory.

ROSNEFT also has an ambitios investments' program abroad and in Russia.

ROSNEFT is developing strategic partnership with Chinese National Petroleum Company (CNPC) – one of the largest oil companies in the world delivering the lion's share of oil and gas to China. Rosneft and CNPC plan to form a joint venture to make geological survey in Eastern Siberia prospecting for oil reserves at the new perspective areas. In partnership with the CNPC, Rosneft also plans to construct in China an oil processing plant and about 300 gasoline stations. The Chinese public energy companies, until recently, focused on Siberian energy projects, but they decided to participate in the Sakhalin offshore ventures. On July 1, 2005 the CNPC and Rosneft established a joint venture to explore energy resources in the Veninsky bloc of the Sakhalin-3 project.

During a recent meeting with the international discussion club "Valdai" members, President Putin made several critical remarks on current and future energy policy of Russia. Firstly, he confirmed that Russia would expand extraction of oil and gas to satisfy increasing demand of the world market. He also clarified that though as a resources supplier to foreign customers we would implement a very responsible politics, at the same time Russia will balance volumes of our foreign supplies with our state interests and production potential. Taking into account the urgent need in developing the RFE territories, we may suggest that *local consumers of oil and gas will get clear priority over their foreign counterparts*.

Secondly, he clarified that in tough production conditions of Siberia and Russian Far East (cold climate, mountainous terrain, lack of population and infrastructure, etc.) largest public companies like Gazprom, Transneft, Rosneft could be and would be reliable leading operators of oil and gas extraction and transportation projects.⁷

.

⁵ Kommersant, June 06, 2006

⁶ RIA-Novosti, October 03, 2006

⁷ Putin's forth meeting with international discussion club "Valdai" members in Sochi, September 2007

The above mentioned statements have been fully materialized in the "Program of the gas industry development in the Eastern Siberia and the Russian Far East" that has been approved by the Russian Ministry of Industry and Energy in September 2007. The total amount of investments to realize this program will be 2,4 trillion rubles (about \$93 bln). In accordance with the program, that will be coordinated by Gazprom, in comparison with 2006, by the year 2020 the volume of gas extraction will increase 18 times, and by the year 2030 - by 20 times. In material terms it means that delivery of gas to local consumers in eastern part of Russia will increase up to 27 bln cubic meters per year by 2020, and will reach 32 bln cubic meters per year by 2030. It will help to increase regional GDP from 2005 till 2030 by 3,8 times.

The program clarifies that **gas extraxtion surplus** will be delivered to China, ROK, and other APR countries. By 2020 China and ROK annual import of gas from Russia will reach 25 - 30 bln cubic meters, and other APR countries will annually buy 20-21 bln cubic meters. Increase in the volume of gas is planned to achieve by extraxtion at the gas fields in Sakhalin, Yakutiya, Irkutskaya oblast and Krasnoyarskiy region. The largest Kovikta gas field is planned to become operational in 2017, and till that time gas demand will be satisfied by extraction at other fields.⁸

Recent Changes in the RFE Security Assessments

The priority of security threats for the RFE in the 21st century have changed. The most urgent of them are now either internal (declining socioeconomic situation, negative demography, etc.) or para-military in character (terrorism, illegal migration and fisheries, etc).

Industrial waste dumping and deadly water pollution had been a serious problem in China for a long time, and lately it became an acute problem for the nature and ecology of the RFE. The largest chemical plant blast happened in Jilin province in 2005, and it dumped 100 tonnes of toxic benzene compounds into the Songhua in China's northeast, leading to the shutdown of water supplies to Harbin, and sparking concern in the RFE territories when in December 2005 toxic waste reached Amur River and later Khabarovsk – the largest industrial city in the region.

There is a consensus among experts that pollution and environmental degradation in China will worsen in future. China's growing appetite for timber and other natural resources, such as fish, nuts, etc. are fuelling illegal exports from the RFE territories. In this situation Russia has no

⁸ Lenta.ru, September 10, 2007

other options but to press China to follow more strict ecological standards and to propose bilateral cooperation in this field.

In other words, one more potential sphere where the Russian Far East could make substantial input into East Asian regionalism is a strict compliance with ecology standards and preservation of natural resources that could be accessible to other regional countries. To characterize this subject we will look at several cases.

In Spring 2006 Russian state company Transneft started construction of the first section of the East Siberia – Pacific Ocean (ESPO) oil pipeline going from Taishet, Irkutskaya oblast to Skovorodino, Amurskaya oblast. President Putin insisted that a new route should be be charted at least 25 miles further away from the northern shore of the Lake Baikal. Environmentalists, and have been campaigning for over a year to protect Baikal - the world's deepest freshwater lake holding more than 20 percent of the world's unfrozen fresh water — from the pipeline.

Another positive example – international cooperation projects aimed at utilization of the Russian old nuclear submarines. The Russian Pacific Fleet decommissioned submarines were waiting for dismantlement and deactivation of nuclear reactors for years while posing a serious ecological threat to the surrounding areas. According to the Russian Rosatom Head Sergey Kirienko, by the end of 2006 75% from about 200 Russian decommissioned nuclear submarines had been utilized, and that all decommissioned submarines should be utilized by 2010. Considering situation at the Russian Far East, the United States and Japan allocated lion's share of foreign money to solve this acute problem.

CONCLUSION:

There are several promising areas of Russian cooperation with its foreign partners that could contribute to its integration into Northeast Asia and East Asia.

First, the most solid and perspective among them is to position itself as a major and reliable energy supplier to the East Asian countries. In a very positive financial situation in Russia (in October 2007 foreign exchange reserves and stabilization fund has reached record \$425 bln and \$130 bln respectively), the Kremlin is doing its best to preserve capacity in strategic decision-making in the energy transit and in regulating foreign investors' access to Russian market, while

trying to redirect foreign investments flows to other value added production oriented sectors of the Russian economy.

The fact that public companies Gazprom and Rosneft got a preferential access to the Russian natural resources may lead to slowdown in extraction ratio. At the same time in unfavorable conditions of the REF territories (cold climate, mountaneous terrain conditions, lack of transportation infrastructure and labor force, etc.) oil and gas extraction and transportation could be more effectively realized by public companies, such as Gazprom, Rosneft, Transneft, etc.

The abovementioned Russian public companies would be able to support stable supply of energy to Asian markets according to approved schedule and in quantities coordinated with foreign partners. Overall, Russia as a consolidated player at the Asian energy market will be a more reliable partner to its neighboring countries.

Such Moscow approach to develop and to sell natural resourses of East Siberia and the Russian Far East, as well as another NEP idea to sign long term contracts to obtain Russian oil and gas do not impede developing mutually advantageous ties between Russia and key consumers of oil and gas in NEA (China, Japan, ROK, DPRK). Considering a proposal to form an International Governmental Organization for cooperation in energy sector in Northeast Asia, Moscow is still interested in its realization.

Second, Russia became a critical supporter of natural resources (timber, fish, seafoods, metals, etc.) to NEA countries. In future, Moscow should develop regional cooperation in natural resources preservation sphere, because all East Asian countries, especially China and Japan, are dependent on Russian energy, metals, timber, fish, and will depend even more in future when, for example Chinese acute lack of water may become a critical regional problem.

Third, Russia's role as a consistent supporter of multilateral cooperation in the Asia-Pacific, primarily in security and economic matters, is gradually emerges. Multilateralism will be an important addition to the bilateral approach at all levels of the regionalism in the Asia-Pacific taking into account that both Moscow and Beijing has changed its attitude to multilateral cooperation to a much more positive one.

The DPRK nuclear crisis became the main impediment to the Northeast Asian regionalism; however a common threat is bringing the region together. An effective multilateral solution to this problem in a 6-Party Talks format may become a key to forming a new international governmental organization dealing with the NEA comprehensive security issues.

Several other promising avenues of regional cooperation could be added to this list. For example, Southeast Asia has already emerged as a third pole in the consumption of Russian arms, after China and India.

Another area of possible Russian input - providing efficient railway transportation services between East Asia and Europe by connecting TSR and TKR - could not be realized due to a nuclear problem at the Korean Peninsula. Moscow believes that everything possible should be done to solve it. Russia is taking part in 6-Party Talks from 2003, and in some scenarios Moscow role at this negotiation table may increase in future.

Negative demography and underdevelopment of vast territories are critical threats for the RFE and Eastern Siberia. To preserve the territorial integrity of these parts of the country, Moscow plans to substantially increase state budget allocations to improve regional energy and transportation infrastructure (roads, airports, oil and gas pipelines, energy power grids, etc) thus indirectly improving local population standards of living due to positive collateral socioeconomic effects. In 2007 then Minister for Russia Economic Development and Trade announced that 358 billion rubles would be assigned to implement The Far East and Transbaikalia development federal program for next 6 years. The amount of allocated fundes will be gradually increasing from 35 bln in 2008 to 75 bln (\$6 bln) in 2013.

Local RFE experts argue that to make proposed changes a reality the Russian Government should develop and undertake a more comprehensive long term program aimed at increasing attractiveness of the RFE to foreign capitals and Russian private investors, and to complement the above mentioned measures with one more critical component – to give the FRE territories more economic freedoms to start several free economic zones, tourist/recreational zones, etc.