The traditional media industry’s first law is that “attention is scarce.”
In fact, attention has remained ‘relatively abundant’ for many years.
The real problem facing media industry is a zero-sum game
because media’s grown quantitatively, but attention hasn’t, it is about to be “relatively scarce”.
This environment requires a new relationship of the media players & a new role of the platform.

- Attention : Relatively abundant along the value chain.
- Attention: Relatively scarce in cross platform.

My attention is constant, 24 hours a day. But I can choose what to pay attention to in 24 hours.
Digital Media Platform’s Role & KT case
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What is Platform?

In the dictionary,

a platform is a flat, raised structure, usually made of wood, which people stand on when they make speeches or give a performance.

a platform is a flat area, usually one which something can stand on.

a platform is a structure built for people to work and live on when drilling for oil or gas at sea, or when extracting it.

In terms of the business,

platform is generally understood as the common components like hardware, software, service,

as the common rules such as standard, protocol and contracts.

→ Platform is employed by network users in most of their interactions.
Platform in Media 1.0?

Most old media markets are two-sided and coordinate consumption by advertisers and audiences. Attention is how we refer to this coordination process. Because of high entry barriers (e.g., spectrum), old media players gain strong first-mover advantages.

- Supply remains limited on both sides of the two-sided market.
- For advertisers, price rises, then it is used to subsidize audience growth.

Supply coordinates demand on both sides of a two-sided market and sets equilibrium prices. Unlike in other markets, attention is a critical part of the value chain, because it is demanded by advertisers (media platform) and supplied by consumers. On the other side of the two-sided market, production is demanded by consumers (platform) and supplied (funded) by advertisers.
Platform in Media 2.0?

“Being relatively scarce” leads to the creation of new core competence and business strategies. As competition explodes for attention, marketing costs begin to increase. On the other hand, production becomes more abundant and less costly.

There is no clear distinction between professional & amateur media because media can be rebundled.
- Atomized media can be reshaped, remixed, aggregated, filtered, distributed…
- Almost anyway / to any time / at any place consumer prefer (Umair Haque, 2005)

Three sources of value creation in Media 2.0

1. Revelation
   - Discovering valuable content
   - Publishing 2.0: Finding the stuff
   *This economy requires openness, decentralization, and connectedness thru niches, not blockbusters.*

2. Aggregation
   - Storing huge amount of microcontent
   - Distribution 2.0: anytime, any device

3. Plasticity
   - Modularizing, standardizing content
   - Infrastructure 2.0: extending content thru technologies like mashup…

[Platform in Media 2.0]

User: traffic, UX, value

Media Platforms mediates the interaction among developers, advertisers and users.

PP, CP: Fun, reputation, revenue

Platform

Advertiser: financial, profit
What types of platforms?

Iansiti & Levien introduced 4 types: **Keystone, classic dominator, hub landlord & niche player.**
- There are two criteria to make those four types: Value Creation & Value Capture
- Apple as dominator:
  
  *For iTunes & iPod, Apple uses a closed proprietary platform.*
  
  *It combines certain CODECS(AAC) file format with closed “FairPlay” DRM.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value Creation</th>
<th>Value Capture</th>
<th>Focus/Challenge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keystone</td>
<td>Improving the ecosystem and benefits performance of the firm.</td>
<td>Leaving vast majority of value creation to network. Sharing widely.</td>
<td>Sharing value thru network; balancing this with capture in areas</td>
<td>Focusing on creating platforms &amp; sharing solutions throughout network. Challenge is to sustain value creation while balancing value sharing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominator</td>
<td>Integrating vertically or horizontally to control its network</td>
<td>Responsible for most value creation itself</td>
<td>Captures most value for itself</td>
<td>Focusing on control and ownership – defining, owning, and directing most of what the network does</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub landlord</td>
<td>Extracting as much value as possible from its network without controlling it</td>
<td>Creating little if value; relies on the rest of the network for value creation</td>
<td>Capturing most value for itself</td>
<td>Even if they refuse to control their networks, they extract so much value from those networks that they put their existence at risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niche player</td>
<td>Developing specialized capabilities that differentiate it from other firms</td>
<td>Collectively creating much of the value in the ecosystem</td>
<td>Capture much of the value they create</td>
<td>Focusing on specializing in areas where they can develop capabilities, while leveraging the services provided by the keystones in their ecosystem.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Iansiti & Levien, 2004, p.75
Keystone in Media 2.0?

- **Publishing 2.0**: Keystone increases productivity by simplifying the discovering process.
- **Distribution 2.0**: It enhances robustness by consistently incorporating technological innovations.
- **Infrastructure 2.0**: It encourages creation by offering innovative technologies to 3rd-party players.

Keystone *creates value*

The value can be a physical asset like Wal-Mart’s chain of CD/DVD, an intellectual asset like Google’s innovative information search engine and hub, or a financial asset like MS’s acquisition of Navision.

Effective keystone couples value creation with *sharing it*.

Some assets can also be sharable assets, or leverage direct customer connections, or support uniformed information standards like APIs*, purchase histories, and demographics. Assets can establish and maintain performance standards like Google.

*Application Program Interfaces*
Google as Keystone

Google is the model of keystone’s good performance based on Media 2.0. J. Jarvis emphasizes new relationship and architecture in terms of the Google’s role as Platform:
- Distinguishing Content Economy and Link Economy.
- “The more connections, the greater the value.”

[Google Rules by J. Jarvis*]

- New Relationship
  - Give the people control, they use it
- New Architecture
  - Join the network & be a platform
- New Publicness
  - Life is public, so is business
- New Economy
  - Mass market is dead
- New Biz. Reality
  - Free is a business model
- New Attitude
  - Trust the people and listen
- New Ethic
  - Life is a beta, don’t be evil
- New Speed
  - Life is live
- New Imperatives
  - Simplify and get out of the way

*Book: “What would Google do?” 2009
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What should be the Media Platforms?

When media platforms are positioned in four quadrants, it leads to the zero sum game. It’s media 1.0. But leading companies understand how “relatively scarce” attention shapes industry dynamics.

For instance, Comcast’s free site, Fancast has streams of full episodes of current and old TV shows from Bravo, CBS, Fox, NBC, and other networks. It has blogs about TV, movies, celebrities and it has marketing for first-run movies, DVDs & downloads. → Beyond protection, control....
Key architectures in Media 2.0?

New Architectures of the platform are synchronization, mass customization, and openness.
- The user wants to use media aggregated and reconstructed in hyperefficient ways.
- The user wants to consume media in unbundled microchunks (micromedia)
- The user needs the connected consumption thru niches, not blockbusters.

### Media 1.0
- Economies of scale
- Content offering by producer
- Passive consumption
- Vertical/horizontal integration in the value chain
- Advertising based biz model
  - Increasing ad. time is dominant strategy

### Media 2.0
- Synchronization
  - PC & Mobile preference (OTT)*
    - 56% willingness in US (~09)**
- Mass customization
  - More channels, more programs
    - Content proportion for PC 42%**
- Openness
  - Decentralization, peer production
  - Connectedness thru niches

*OTT(Over-the-Top): a digital industry term describing third party home entertainment services that are delivered across (i.e., "on top" of) a broadband network without affiliation with the broadband service provider (by bypassing the telco's broadband network).

**Source: Accenture, "The future of broadcasting: Sustaining shareholder value and high performance in a changing industry", 2008
Synchronization

**Give value with link! (rather than content economy)**

It is important in fields of telephone, video and audio where streams of sampled data are manipulated.

For instance, it is used for the transfer of content from a computer to an MP3 player connected to it.

- Hulu offers streaming video of TV shows & movies from NBC & Fox.
- BBC launched VoD, iPlayer (Web API).
- Reuters Spotlight provides content in the form of articles, pictures, videos and text through a set standards.

---

### Richness

- Redirecting filtered UGC on PC window to TV
- Supporting solutions on PC for reproducing mass content of TV

### Convenience

- Creating various personalizing functions on PC for TV services
- Allowing storing, transformation, transmission, search, filtering management tools of personal & mass content on PC

- Offering snacking or clipping content of mass content consumed on TV
- Allowing functionalities to use other devices along with TV - Payment, Authorization etc.
- Utilizing it to promote TV use - VOD preview, - Information of popular one

- Utilizing the offline windows as preemptive content production plants for TV service offer - Plants for participating, sharing and transmitting UGC - Reality programs etc.
- Using the TV windows as promotion and advertisement tools for offline distribution of content
Mass Customization

Managing abundance! (rather than controlling content)
✓ More flexible viewing schedules with affordable devices & applications make mass market dead.
✓ Users can be highly targeted and redefine the amount of time they spend media.

J. Jarvis: “Long live the mass of niches. Some are producing services for more targeted interests, locals and communities: a local sports talk show, mobile weather service, local job fairs, parents’ guides etc.”
Openness

Open up the way they create content! (rather than offering closed APIs)
- Warner Music Group has joined EMI & Universal in agreeing to drop DRM on MP3 files.
- Technology such as the open source supports the interactivity.
- Innovators are Google, Amazon, Craigslist (community), etc. They know upstream resources become scarce.

Jeff Jarvis said, “Small is the new big. Long live the mass of niches.” = Long tail

The lesson of Google:
- It creates Google economy by commodifying everything.
- It’s Motto is “Don’t be evil.”

- Warner Music Group has joined EMI & Universal in agreeing to drop DRM on MP3 files.
- Technology such as the open source supports the interactivity.
- Innovators are Google, Amazon, Craigslist (community), etc. They know upstream resources become scarce.

Jeff Jarvis said, “Small is the new big. Long live the mass of niches.” = Long tail

The lesson of Google:
- It creates Google economy by commodifying everything.
- It’s Motto is “Don’t be evil.”

http://code.google.com
- Android (mobile)
- Google App. Engine
- Google Gears (on/offline)
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Advertising market saturation?

Advertising market in Korea has been stagnated for many years, less than 1% of GDP. TV industry had 15.6% CAGR since 1998, but 12.5% CAGR since 2000.
- The main reason of this decline is the saturation of TV advertising market.

[Revenue Growth of free TV & pay TV in Korea]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Free TV Rev.</th>
<th>Pay TV Rev.</th>
<th>CAGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>63,360</td>
<td>(32,387)</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>67,926</td>
<td>(32,102)</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>72,830</td>
<td>(30,747)</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>84,657</td>
<td>(32,859)</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>89,666</td>
<td>(33,657)</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: KCC, 2009
Recent ad. market recovery, but?

Recent signs of improvement in industrial production should boost advertising demand.

Ad. demand in Korea is correlated to production for the following reasons:
① Ad. revenue originates mainly from corporations;
② Industrial production must expand before advertising sales increase;
③ Companies are quick to respond to changes in consumption.

But limitation of Pay TV’s main revenue source is another issue.

Subscription revenue amounted to 46.4% of the total pay TV revenue in 2007.

※ KCTA. 2007
New direction is new media (mostly IP network)-based, microdifferentiated content distribution.
- The expected volume of global media market in 2013 will be totally W1,163 trillion (US$1,163bil.).

Global media market growth (2007 vs. 2013) (unit: trillion)

- New Market Drivers in Media 2.0
  - Technology & Investment
    - Fixed-mobile broadband, MPEG, P2P
  - Regulation
    - Fair competition/use, creative commons
  - Changing consumer preference
    - Peer production, connected consumption

“It will be won by players who can realize economies of scale & scope in production and distribution (not marketing) to efficiently allocate scarce attention.”

Source: PWC, ATLAS Research
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IPTV Business?

Telcos have 560,000 real-time IPTV subscribers and need unique application for revitalization.

KT’s Real-time IPTV

Market Share

- LGD/P, 180,000, 32%
- SKB, 119,000, 21%
- KT, 265,000, 47%

# of Subscribers (Thousand)

- D-CATV 2,170
- IPTV 560
- Including Pre-IPTV 1,800

July, 2009

Causes of the chasm

- Traditional dominator approach
- Meet no Media 2.0 trend
- No differentiation with Digital CATV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media 1.0</th>
<th>Media 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>content</td>
<td>distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarce (expensive)</td>
<td>Scarce (controlled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundant (cheap)</td>
<td>Abundant (open &amp; limitless)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**How overcome?**

KT needs to focus on “the Economy of Scale” in short run, In long run, it should adapt the Google economy and Keystone strategy.

**Short run**

“Economy of Scale”
1. Family unit convergence service (QPS)
2. Scale covering variable cost

**Long run**

“Beyond TV (home gateway, IPTV 2.0)”
1. User as component of media
2. Society based on collective Intelligence

**Value Creation**
- Synchronized content among 4 Screens*
- Customized advertising “Clear Skin”

**Value Sharing**
- App Store
- Open Market
- Partnership

---

*TPS: Technology and Society

- Family unit convergence service
- Scale covering variable cost

- User as component of media
- Society based on collective Intelligence

- Synchronized content among 4 Screens
- Customized advertising “Clear Skin”
KT’s Role for Synchronization?

Synchronized content sharing among 4 Screens*
- Address Book, Photo Sharing, TV Series on Demand, Schedule update, Messenger, etc.

Specially optimized UI Window within each screen

**4 Screen Service**

- 4 Screen synchronized service
- 3S (Synchronization/Shift/Storage in FMC network)

*4Screen: PC, IPTV, VoIP, Mobile Device
KT’s Role for Mass Customization?

KT’s customized advertising Business Model: ‘Clear Skin’ (Invisible internet skinned over IPTV)
Reconstruction of digital content makes the user in hyperefficient ways (online stores with Clear Skin)

※ Illustration: Actor profile & Related VOD, BGM downloading, Mobile phone purchasing, Restaurant booking
KT’s Role for Openness?

KT’s planning to open up new ways to distribute content
- It will integrate, manage and protect content, services and business models thru open standard.
- It will create open, reciprocal relationships with content providers and customers, allowing more access and granting more freedom in available ways to combine content and delivery.

As Is

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>user</td>
<td>User</td>
<td>고객</td>
<td>고객</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Video Recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seller</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Seller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To Be

1. **4G + All IP Network**
2. **Communication function on devices**
3. **One Location of Content storage**

Open Platform

- Personal content Portal
- Blogging (First Window)
- Mashup

- Content mediation
- Content remake/editing
- Web hard offer
- Connecting, searching, filtering, sharing, transforming with various devices simultaneously

Inter-Window synchronization

Seamless use
1. KT’s entry into IPTV market at the end of 2007 was a very ambitious step for a new media player.

2. This transformation is a journey to a content-driven and service-led environment.

3. We know, the most effective transformation programs comprise Customer-centric biz. Units.

4. To be a keystone platform to promote the interaction among media customers and partners, we will focus on key architectures like synchronization, mass customization and openness.

5. In synchronization support, we will aim to make same content, transactional services and applications available across all windows simultaneously, wherever practical and commercially viable, and with a common look and feel.

6. For supporting mass customization, customer segmentation is not just a demographic profile. It will be characterized through needs-based segmentation and put at the center of all businesses and service development.

7. To create more value, we will give up some control of assets, then collaborators can remix, add to, and distribute content.

“Collaboration is co-creation.” (Jarvis’ What Would Google Do?)
Any questions?